





SOME ISSUES WITH GRAVITINOS IN HIGH-SCALE SUSY MODELS

Based on :

E.D., M.A.G.Garcia, Y.Mambrini, K.A.Olive, M.Peloso and S.Verner, Phys. Rev. **D103** (2021), 123519 [arXiv:2104.03749 [hep-th]]

+ work in progress with Quentin Bonnefoy (DESY-TH) and Gabriele Casagrande (CPHT-Ecole Polytechnique)

SEENET-MTP seminar

may 11, 2022

E. Dudas - CNRS and E. Polytechnique + CERN-TH







Outline

1) The swampland program - Spin 3/2, potential problems 2) Gravitino sound speed in supergravity 3) Eqs. for the longitudinal gravitino, results 4) Causality and positivity bounds, a gravitino swampland conjecture 5) Conclusions





INSTITUT POLYTECHNIQUE **DE PARIS**

Einstein general relativity is a classical theory $g_{\mu\nu}$ Mass/energy \longrightarrow spacetime geometry



Its quantization $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{M_P}h_{\mu\nu}$ leads to UV divergences which cannot be reabsorbed in a finite number of parameters \longrightarrow non-renormalizable



INSTITUT POLYTECHNIQUE **DE PARIS**



The coupling of gravitational interaction is $\frac{E}{M_P}$

negligible quantum corrections at low energy.

At high-energies $E \sim M_P$ or in strong gravity fields, theory of quantum gravity is necessary.



E. Dudas – CNRS and E. Polytechnique + CERN-TH



[hep-th]])

INSTITUT POLYTECHNIQUE **DE PARIS**



1) The swampland program

Are all consistent Quantum Field Theories obtainable from a Quantum Gravity Theory (ex. String Theory) ? Probably NO

Swampland = the set of consistent QFT with no consistent coupling to Quantum Gravity (Vafa, 2005)









6

Final goal swampland program ?

Supplement rules of effective QFT with additional constraints, which would guide Beyond the Standard Model and cosmology constructions.







Why Supergravity for early cosmology?



- Inflation with super-Planckian field variations needs a UV completion String Theory
- Supersymmetry crucial ingredient in String Theory, supergravity its low-energy effective action







SUGRA = SUSY + Gravity Rarita-Schwinger, spin 3/2 It contains : Graviton $g_{\mu
u}$, gravitino ψ_{μ} - gravity multiplet: (complex) Scalars, Weyl Fermions - « matter » fields: chiral superfields Φ_i

+ gauge multiplets, etc







9

- In supergravity, the gravitino Ψ_{μ} becomes massive by absorbing the goldstino \mbox{G}

$$\Psi_{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} 3/2 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ -3/2 \end{pmatrix} + G \begin{pmatrix} - \\ 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ - \end{pmatrix} = \Psi_{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} 3/2 \\ 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ -3/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and its mass is

$$m_{3/2} = e^{\frac{K}{2}} |W|$$

/

Kahler potential

Superpotential



.



INSTITUT POLYTECHNIQUE **DE PARIS**



The consistency of low-energy actions for the spin 3/2 Rarita-Schwinger field has a long history :

- 1941: Rarita-Schwinger action
- 1969: Velo-Zwanziger pointed out potential acausal propagation for a charged gravitino in an e.m. background
- 1977: Deser-Zumino proved that gravitino propagation in minimal supergravity is causal
- 2001: Deser-Waldron proved that gravitino propagation in gauged supergravities is causal
- 2021 Gravitino swampland conjecture, gravitino distance conjecture





INSTITUT POLYTECHNIQUE **DE PARIS**



History of the subject strongly suggest that usual supergravities have no problems with gravitino propagation.

SUSY (linearly realized): nb. bosons = nb. fermions SUGRA: SUSY is a gauge symmetry, contains gravity Nonlinear SUSY/SUGRA: nb. bosons \neq nb. fermions

Inflation models in standard SUGRA's have at least one complex scalar field (often several). Recently, simple nonlinear SUSY/SUGRA models were constructed. More minimal inflationary models, fewer fields. (Antoniadis,E.D.,Ferrara&Sagnotti; Kallosh,Linde & coll, 2014-)

Even possible to construct minimal models with only: graviton, massive gravitino and inflaton (real scalar)





INSTITUT POLYTECHNIQUE **DE PARIS**



Simplest nonlinear SUSY's: constrained superfields.

Example:

 Volkov-Akulov action can be constructed in superspace (Rocek,78) introducing a constrained, nilpotent superfield

whose solution is no fundamental scalar

 $X^2 = 0$

Superspace fermionic coordinate

auxiliary field

$$X = \frac{GG}{2F_X} + \sqrt{2\theta}G + \frac{\theta^2 F_X}{f}$$

The full VA action is

$$\mathcal{L}_{VA} = \left[X \overline{X} \right]_{D} + \left[fX + h.c. \right]_{F}$$

E. Dudas - CNRS and E. Polytechnique + CERN-TH







Analogy with the sigma model :

- O(N) linear sigma model

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_m \phi_a \partial^m \phi_a - \lambda (\phi_a \phi_a - v^2)^2.$$

has 1 massive (« Higgs ») and N-1 goldstone bosons, versus the

- O(N)/ O(N-1) nonlinear sigma model ($\lambda
ightarrow \infty$ limit)

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_m \phi_a \partial^m \phi_a$$

+ constraint $\phi_a \phi_a = v^2$, describes self-interactions of the N-1 goldstone's. O(N) symmetry is nonlinearly realized.







2) Gravitino sound speed in supergravity (SUGRA)

- The talk deals with the propagation (« speed of sound » C_S) of gravitino in SUGRA, (mostly) during inflation.
- Normally $0 < c_s \leq 1$

Recently, two potential problematic behaviours were discussed:

• $c_s = 0$ at particular points on the inflationary trajectory Large (catastrophic) production of gravitinos • $c_s > 1$ acausal behaviour at particular points on the inflationary trajectory in specific SUGRA models





The sound speed C_s is defined from the dispersion relation

$$\omega^2 = c_s^2 \mathbf{k}^2 + a^2 m^2$$

The transverse spin 3/2 component in a FRW background has a standard dispersion relation with $c_s=1$

$$(\gamma^0 \partial_0 + i\gamma^i k_i + am_{3/2})\Psi_{3/2,\mathbf{k}} = 0$$

scale factor







The longitudinal (goldstino) component satisfies a more involved equation

$$(\gamma^0 \partial_0 - i\gamma^i k_i \frac{\alpha_1 + \gamma^0 \alpha_2}{\alpha} + am_{3/2})\Psi_{1/2,\mathbf{k}} = 0$$

with α_1,α_2,α specific functions of scalar fields in SUGRA, with the sound speed depending generically on time

$$c_s^2 = \frac{|\alpha_1|^2 + |\alpha_2|^2}{\alpha^2}$$

 $c_s < 1 \implies \text{Slow gravitino} \quad \text{(Benakli, Darmé, Oz, 2014)}$







A general expression for longitudinal gravitino sound speed is

$$c_s^2 = \frac{\left(p - 3m_{3/2}^2\right)^2}{\left(\rho + 3m_{3/2}^2\right)^2} + \frac{4\dot{m}_{3/2}^2}{\left(\rho + 3m_{3/2}^2\right)^2} + \frac{4\dot{m}_{3/2}^2}{\left(\rho + 3m_{3/2}^2\right)^2}$$
energy density

 $c_s=0$ is possible if $m_{3/2}$ is const. and $p=3m_{3/2}^2$

In this case, there would be a catastrophic production of gravitinos during inflation

(Hasegawa, Terada et al, 2017; Kolb, Long, McDonough, 2021).





L' Pourter

The problem was argued to arise for $m_{3/2} < H$. If the problem is generic \longrightarrow potential issue for low-energy SUSY models.



E. Dudas - CNRS and E. Polytechnique + CERN-TH

Hubble scale







19

The explicit formula in SUGRA is

$$c_s^2 = 1 - \frac{4}{\left(|\dot{\varphi}|^2 + |F|^2\right)^2} \left\{ |\dot{\varphi}|^2 |F|^2 - |\dot{\varphi} \cdot F^*|^2 \right\}$$

where $F^i \equiv e^{K/2} K^{ij^*} D_{j^*} W^*$ in <u>standard</u> SUGRA,

$$D_i W \equiv \frac{\partial W}{\partial \varphi^i} + \frac{\partial K}{\partial \varphi^i} W$$

and we used the compact notation $|\dot{arphi}|^2=\dot{arphi}^i\,K_{ij^*}\,\dot{arphi}^{j*}$,etc

Obs: Cauchy-Schwarz inequality \implies causality $c_s \leq 1$ respected in all standard SUGRA's





3) Eqs. for the longitudinal gravitino, results

In an expanding background, the longitudinal gravitino $\,\theta\,$ is coupled to another fermion, the inflatino

$$\Upsilon = K_{ij^*} \left(\chi^i \partial_0 \varphi^{j^*} + \chi^{j^*} \partial_0 \varphi^i \right)$$

(Kallosh,Kofman,Linde, Van Proeyen,2000; Nilles,Peloso,Sorbo, 2001)

heta and Υ are coupled via

$$(\gamma^0 \partial_0 + i\gamma^i k_i N + M) X = 0 \quad , \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\theta} \\ \tilde{\Upsilon} \end{pmatrix}$$







where the « sound speed matrix »

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha} - \gamma^0 \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha} & -\gamma^0 \Delta \\ -\gamma^0 \Delta & -\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha} + \gamma^0 \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\Delta = \sqrt{1 - c_s^2}$, is now the key to the « slow gravitino » problem.

When
$$c_s=0$$
 , then $N=\left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & -\gamma^0 \\ -\gamma^0 & 0 \end{array}
ight)$

is nonsingular, leading to a nonvanishing sound speed for the physical eigenstates.

(DGMOPV; see also Antoniadis, Benakli and Ke, 2021)







For the (large) majority of SUGRA models we investigated , we found no problems, $~~0 < c_s^i \leq 1$:

- <u>standard</u> SUGRA models with two chiral superfields (inflaton+SUSY breaking): general statement
- SUGRA models with nilpotent SUSY breaking field

$$S^2 = 0$$







$$S(\Phi - \overline{\Phi}) = 0$$

Only $Re \phi$ =inflaton is a dynamical degree of freedom. $Im \phi$, the inflatino ψ_{ϕ} and the auxiliary field F_{ϕ} are determined by the constraint.

In particular F_{ϕ} is a bilinear in fermions and does not appear in the scalar potential : $F^{\Phi} \neq e^{K/2} K^{\Phi \overline{i}} D_{i^*} W^*$







Consequences:

- There is no inflatino $\implies \Upsilon = 0$, the gravitino sound speed problem $c_s = 0$ can reappear (model-dependent)
- The Cauchy-Schwarz argument for $c_s \leq 1$ not valid. We found examples with $c_s > 1$!
- On the other hand, the UV origin of the orthogonal constraint is not clear (Dall'Agata, E.D., Farakos, 2006)
 - Potential pathological behaviour reminiscent of the swampland program !







5) Causality and positivity bounds

(Quentin Bonnefoy, Gabriele Casagrande & E.D., in progress)

- The potential acausal behaviour concerns the longitudinal component of the gravitino.
- Gravitino equivalence theorem: at high-energy, gravitino longitudinal component is described by the goldstino, with enhanced couplings to matter.

Natural question: is the acausality found in SUGRA captured by the low-energy lagrangian of the goldstino coupled to matter, in the decoupling limit $M_P \rightarrow \infty$?







Yes ! The goldstino lagrangian contains a higher-derivative operator of the form

$$\frac{1}{f^2}(1-c_s^2)(\bar{G}i\gamma^m\partial^n G)\ \partial_m\varphi\ \partial_n\varphi$$

The operator is subject to **positivity constraints** from dispersion relation arguments which enforce



- This implies that the subluminality condition is independent of M_P , easy to check aposteriori
- We believe the issue arises due to the « elimination » of the auxiliary field by the orthogonal constraint, no simple physical interpretation.







 Obs: SUGRA/inflation subluminality condition valid throughout the inflationary trajectory, positivity constraints valid only in the ground state

SUGRA condition is stronger.

 Maybe causality condition of goldstino propagation in timedependent solutions of the goldstino action is equivalent to the SUGRA constraint ?







Interesting to contemplate a « gravitino swampland conjecture »

« In all 4d effective field theories that are low-energy limits of quantum gravity, at all points in moduli space and for all initial conditions, the sound speed of the gravitino(s) must be non-vanishing $c_s > 0$ » (Kolb,Long,McDonough)

a refined version

« In all 4d effective field theories that are low- energy limits of quantum gravity, at all points in moduli space and for all initial conditions, all eigenvalues of the sound speed matrix for fermions must be non-vanishing and subluminal $0 < c_s^i \leq 1$ »







- Very often, inflatino is produced, alleviate gravitino problem.
- Important to check and impose sound speed

 $0 < c_s \leq 1 \implies \text{gravitino swampland conjecture}$

- Most SUGRA models satisfy it, except peculiar models with orthogonal constraint (or similar).
- Subluminality constraints captured by goldstino SUSY lagrangians in $M_P \to \infty$ limit and positivity constraints, but SUGRA condition is stronger.
- General interest: consistency constraints on nonlinear SUSY/SUGRA E. Dudas CNRS and E. Polytechnique + CERN-TH







THANK YOU !

E. Dudas - CNRS and E. Polytechnique + CERN-TH

















