

Emergent Gravity from Noncommutative Gauge Theory

Harold Steinacker

Department of Physics, University of Vienna

BW 2007

H.S., [arXiv:0708.2426 \[hep-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/0708.2426)

Introduction

- Classical space-time meaningless at Planck scale due to gravity \leftrightarrow Quantum Mechanics
- \Rightarrow “Quantized” (noncommutative?) spaces;
e.g. $[x_i, x_j] = i\theta_{ij}$
space-time uncertainty relations $\Delta x_i \Delta x_j \geq \theta_{ij}$
realized in string theory (D-branes with B -field)
- Physics on quantized space:
Noncommutative Quantum Field Theory
well developed; some problems

Introduction

- **Classical space-time meaningless at Planck scale**
due to gravity \leftrightarrow Quantum Mechanics
- \Rightarrow **“Quantized” (noncommutative?) spaces**;
e.g. $[x_i, x_j] = i\theta_{ij}$
space-time uncertainty relations $\Delta x_i \Delta x_j \geq \theta_{ij}$
realized in string theory (D-branes with B -field)
- Physics on quantized space:
Noncommutative Quantum Field Theory
well developed; some problems
- **Relation with gravity ??**
should be simple & naturally related no NC

Introduction

- **Classical space-time meaningless at Planck scale**
due to gravity \leftrightarrow Quantum Mechanics
- \Rightarrow **“Quantized” (noncommutative?) spaces**;
e.g. $[x_i, x_j] = i\theta_{ij}$
space-time uncertainty relations $\Delta x_i \Delta x_j \geq \theta_{ij}$
realized in string theory (D-branes with B -field)
- Physics on quantized space:
Noncommutative Quantum Field Theory
well developed; some problems
- **Relation with gravity ??**
should be simple & naturally related no NC

Main Message:

- **NC gauge theory (as Matrix Model) does contain gravity**
surprising, intrinsically NC mechanism
gravity tied with NC
cf. stringy Matrix Models (IKKT)
- **Not** precisely general relativity
appears to agree with GR at low energies (?)
 - gravitational waves
 - Newtonian limit
 - linearized metric: $R_{ab} \sim 0$

Main Message:

- **NC gauge theory (as Matrix Model) does contain gravity**
surprising, intrinsically NC mechanism
gravity tied with NC
cf. stringy Matrix Models (IKKT)
- **Not** precisely general relativity
appears to agree with GR at low energies (?)
 - gravitational waves
 - Newtonian limit
 - linearized metric: $R_{ab} \sim 0$

Main result:

The model:

$$S_{YM} = -\text{Tr}[X^a, X^b][X^{a'}, X^{b'}] \eta_{aa'} \eta_{bb'}$$

where $X^a \in L(\mathcal{H})$... matrices (operators), $a = 0, 1, 2, 3$

low-energy effective action:

$$S_{YM} = \int d^4y \rho(y) \text{tr} \left(4\eta(y) - G^{cc'} G^{dd'} F_{cd} F_{c'd'} \right) + 2 \int \eta(y) \text{tr} F \wedge F$$

where

$$G^{ab}(y) = -\theta^{ac}(y)\theta^{bd}(y) g_{cd} \quad \text{effective dynamical metric}$$

$$F_{ab} \quad \dots \quad \text{su}(n) \quad \text{field strength}$$

contains **dynamical** gravity, close to general relativity

Main result:

The model:

$$S_{YM} = -\text{Tr}[X^a, X^b][X^{a'}, X^{b'}] \eta_{aa'} \eta_{bb'}$$

where $X^a \in L(\mathcal{H})$... matrices (operators), $a = 0, 1, 2, 3$

low-energy effective action:

$$S_{YM} = \int d^4y \rho(y) \text{tr} \left(4\eta(y) - G^{cc'} G^{dd'} F_{cd} F_{c'd'} \right) + 2 \int \eta(y) \text{tr} F \wedge F$$

where

$$G^{ab}(y) = -\theta^{ac}(y)\theta^{bd}(y) g_{cd} \quad \text{effective dynamical metric}$$

$$F_{ab} \quad \dots \quad \text{su}(n) \quad \text{field strength}$$

contains **dynamical** gravity, close to general relativity

Outline

- NC gauge theory as Matrix Model
 - dynamical quantum spaces
- Effective metric, geometry
- Low-energy effective action and emergent gravity
- Some checks:
 - Gravitational waves, linearized metric
 - Newtonian Limit
- Remarks on quantization, UV/IR
- Conclusion

NC $U(1)$ gauge theory from Matrix Model

Consider Matrix Model:

$$S_{YM} = -\text{Tr} \left(([X^a, X^b] - i\bar{\theta}^{ab})([X^{a'}, X^{b'}] - i\bar{\theta}^{a'b'}) \right) \eta_{aa'} \eta_{bb'}$$

$\bar{\theta}^{ab}$... antisymmetric tensor, nondegenerate $a = 0, 1, 2, 3$
dynamical objects:

$$X^a = \bar{Y}^a + A^a \quad \in L(\mathcal{H})$$

... hermitian matrices / operators (“covariant coordinates”)

$$[\bar{Y}^a, \bar{Y}^b] = i\bar{\theta}^{ab} \quad \text{“quantum plane”}$$

(cf. Q.M. phase space, Heisenberg-algebra)

“conventional” point of view:

- describes $U(1)$ Yang-Mills on quantum plane \mathbb{R}_θ^4
- \rightarrow usual $U(1)$ Yang-Mills on \mathbb{R}^4 for $\theta \rightarrow 0$

NC $U(1)$ gauge theory from Matrix Model

Consider Matrix Model:

$$S_{YM} = -\text{Tr} \left(([X^a, X^b] - i\bar{\theta}^{ab})([X^{a'}, X^{b'}] - i\bar{\theta}^{a'b'}) \right) \eta_{aa'} \eta_{bb'}$$

$\bar{\theta}^{ab}$... antisymmetric tensor, nondegenerate $a = 0, 1, 2, 3$
dynamical objects:

$$X^a = \bar{Y}^a + A^a \quad \in L(\mathcal{H})$$

... hermitian matrices / operators (“covariant coordinates”)

$$[\bar{Y}^a, \bar{Y}^b] = i\bar{\theta}^{ab} \quad \text{“quantum plane”}$$

(cf. Q.M. phase space, Heisenberg-algebra)

“conventional” point of view:

- describes $U(1)$ Yang-Mills on quantum plane \mathbb{R}_θ^4
- \rightarrow usual $U(1)$ Yang-Mills on \mathbb{R}^4 for $\theta \rightarrow 0$

why? (“standard” analysis)

let $[\bar{Y}^a, \bar{Y}^b] = i\bar{\theta}^{ab}$... quantum plane \mathbb{R}_θ^4 ,

then

$[\bar{Y}^a, f(\bar{Y})] \sim i\theta^{ab} \partial_b f(\bar{Y})$ for “smooth function” $f(\bar{Y}) \approx f(\bar{y})$, $\theta \approx 0$

let $X^a = \bar{Y}^a + \bar{\theta}^{ab} A_b$ then

$$\begin{aligned} [X^a, X^b] - i\bar{\theta}^{ab} &= \bar{\theta}^{aa'} \bar{\theta}^{bb'} (\partial_{a'} A_{b'} - \partial_{b'} A_{a'} + [A_{a'}, A_{b'}]) \\ &= \bar{\theta}^{aa'} \bar{\theta}^{bb'} F_{a'b'} \end{aligned}$$

so

$$S_{YM} \sim \int F_{ab} F_{a'b'} \bar{g}^{aa'} \bar{g}^{bb'}, \quad \bar{g}^{ab} = -\bar{\theta}^{aa'} \bar{\theta}^{bb'} \eta_{a'b'}$$

gauge fields ... fluctuations of covariant coordinates.

why? (“standard” analysis)

let $[\bar{Y}^a, \bar{Y}^b] = i\bar{\theta}^{ab}$... quantum plane \mathbb{R}_θ^4 ,

then

$[\bar{Y}^a, f(\bar{Y})] \sim i\theta^{ab} \partial_b f(\bar{Y})$ for “smooth function” $f(\bar{Y}) \approx f(\bar{y})$, $\theta \approx 0$

let $X^a = \bar{Y}^a + \bar{\theta}^{ab} A_b$ then

$$\begin{aligned} [X^a, X^b] - i\bar{\theta}^{ab} &= \bar{\theta}^{aa'} \bar{\theta}^{bb'} (\partial_{a'} A_{b'} - \partial_{b'} A_{a'} + [A_{a'}, A_{b'}]) \\ &= \bar{\theta}^{aa'} \bar{\theta}^{bb'} F_{a'b'} \end{aligned}$$

so

$$S_{YM} \sim \int F_{ab} F_{a'b'} \bar{g}^{aa'} \bar{g}^{bb'}, \quad \bar{g}^{ab} = -\bar{\theta}^{aa'} \bar{\theta}^{bb'} \eta_{a'b'}$$

gauge fields ... fluctuations of covariant coordinates

however:

- \exists versions for compact (“fuzzy”) spaces $S_N^2 \times S_N^2, CP^2$
H. Grosse, H.S; W. Behr, F. Meyer, H.S

space itself obtained as “vacuum” of similar matrix model
 \Rightarrow space is dynamical;

fluctuations of covariant coords $X^a \leftrightarrow$ gravity ?!

- string-theoretical matrix models (IKKT, BFSS)
are supposed to contain gravity
- NC $u(1)$ gauge theory \leftrightarrow gravity proposed in
Yang [hep-th/0612231] (string theory)

however:

- \exists versions for compact (“fuzzy”) spaces $S_N^2 \times S_N^2, CP^2$
H. Grosse, H.S; W. Behr, F. Meyer, H.S

space itself obtained as “vacuum” of similar matrix model
 \Rightarrow space is dynamical;

fluctuations of covariant coords $X^a \leftrightarrow$ gravity ?!

- string-theoretical matrix models (IKKT, BFSS)
are supposed to contain gravity
- NC $u(1)$ gauge theory \leftrightarrow gravity proposed in
Yang [hep-th/0612231] (string theory)

Geometry from NC $u(n)$ gauge theory:

- $u(n)$, naive:

$$X^a = \bar{Y}^a \otimes \mathbf{1}_n + \bar{\theta}^{ab} (A_b^0 \otimes \mathbf{1}_n + A_b^\alpha \otimes \lambda_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A} \otimes u(n),$$

\mathcal{A} ... functions on \mathbb{R}_θ^4

... obtain $u(n)$ Yang-Mills

- here: separate $u(1)$ and $su(n)$ components

$$\begin{aligned} X^a &= (\bar{Y}^a + \bar{\theta}^{ab} A_b^0) \otimes \mathbf{1}_n + (\bar{\theta}^{ab} A_b^\alpha \otimes \lambda_\alpha) \\ &=: Y^a \otimes \mathbf{1}_n + \theta^{ab} A_b^\alpha \otimes \lambda_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Geometry from NC $u(n)$ gauge theory:

- $u(n)$, naive:

$$X^a = \bar{Y}^a \otimes \mathbf{1}_n + \bar{\theta}^{ab} (A_b^0 \otimes \mathbf{1}_n + A_b^\alpha \otimes \lambda_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A} \otimes u(n),$$

\mathcal{A} ... functions on \mathbb{R}_θ^4

... obtain $u(n)$ Yang-Mills

- here: separate $u(1)$ and $su(n)$ components

$$\begin{aligned} X^a &= (\bar{Y}^a + \bar{\theta}^{ab} A_b^0) \otimes \mathbf{1}_n + (\bar{\theta}^{ab} A_b^\alpha \otimes \lambda_\alpha) \\ &=: Y^a \otimes \mathbf{1}_n + \theta^{ab} A_b^\alpha \otimes \lambda_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

will see:

$u(1)$ component Y^a ... dynamical geometry, gravity

$su(n)$ components A_a^α ... $su(n)$ gauge field coupled to gravity

Geometry from NC $u(n)$ gauge theory:

- $u(n)$, naive:

$$X^a = \bar{Y}^a \otimes \mathbf{1}_n + \bar{\theta}^{ab} (A_b^0 \otimes \mathbf{1}_n + A_b^\alpha \otimes \lambda_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A} \otimes u(n),$$

\mathcal{A} ... functions on \mathbb{R}_θ^4

... obtain $u(n)$ Yang-Mills

- here: separate $u(1)$ and $su(n)$ components

$$\begin{aligned} X^a &= (\bar{Y}^a + \bar{\theta}^{ab} A_b^0) \otimes \mathbf{1}_n + (\bar{\theta}^{ab} A_b^\alpha \otimes \lambda_\alpha) \\ &=: Y^a \otimes \mathbf{1}_n + \theta^{ab} A_b^\alpha \otimes \lambda_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

will see:

$u(1)$ component Y^a ... dynamical geometry, gravity

$su(n)$ components A_a^α ... $su(n)$ gauge field coupled to gravity

$u(1)$ components $Y^a \leftrightarrow$ general Poisson structure:

$$[Y^a, Y^b] = i\theta^{ab}(y)$$

then

$$[Y^a, \Phi(y)] = i\theta^{ab}(y)\partial_b\Phi(y) + O(\theta^2)$$

consider **additional scalar Φ in adjoint**

$$\begin{aligned} S[\Phi] &= -\text{Tr} \eta_{aa'} [X^a, \Phi][X^{a'}, \Phi] \\ &= \text{Tr} G^{ab}(y) (\partial_a + [A_a, \cdot])\Phi(\partial_b + [A_b, \cdot])\Phi \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G^{ab}(y) = -\theta^{ac}(y)\theta^{bd}(y)\eta_{cd}$$

- Φ couples to effective metric G^{ab} determined by $\theta^{ab}(y)$
- $\theta^{ac}(y)$... vielbein

$u(1)$ components $Y^a \leftrightarrow$ general Poisson structure:

$$[Y^a, Y^b] = i\theta^{ab}(y)$$

then

$$[Y^a, \Phi(y)] = i\theta^{ab}(y)\partial_b\Phi(y) + O(\theta^2)$$

consider **additional scalar Φ in adjoint**

$$\begin{aligned} S[\Phi] &= -\text{Tr} \eta_{aa'} [X^a, \Phi][X^{a'}, \Phi] \\ &= \text{Tr} G^{ab}(y) (\partial_a + [A_a, \cdot])\Phi(\partial_b + [A_b, \cdot])\Phi \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G^{ab}(y) = -\theta^{ac}(y)\theta^{bd}(y)\eta_{cd}$$

- Φ couples to effective metric G^{ab} determined by $\theta^{ab}(y)$
- $\theta^{ac}(y)$... vielbein

nonabelian gauge fields (heuristic)

set $X^a = Y^a + \theta^{ab}(y)A_b(y)$ obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [X^a, X^b] &= i\theta^{ab}(y) + i\theta^{ac}\theta^{bd}(\partial_c A_d - \partial_d A_c + [A_c, A_d]) + O(\theta^{-1}\partial\theta) \\ &= i\theta^{ab}(y) + i\theta^{ac}(y)\theta^{bd}(y)F_{cd} + O(\theta^{-1}\partial\theta) \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} S_{YM} &= -\text{Tr}[X^a, X^b][X^{a'}, X^{b'}]\eta_{aa'}\eta_{bb'} \\ &\approx \text{Tr}\left(G^{ab}(y)\eta_{ab} - G^{cc'}(y)G^{dd'}(y)(F_{cd}F_{c'd'} + O(\theta^{-1}\partial\theta))\right) \end{aligned}$$

using $\text{Tr}(\theta^{ab}(y)F^{ab}) \approx 0$

similar to $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ YM coupled to metric $G^{ab}(y)$

nonabelian gauge fields (correct)

Seiberg-Witten map:

$$X^a = Y^a + \theta^{ab} A_b - \frac{1}{2} (A_c [Y^c, \theta^{ad} A_d] + A_c F^{ca}) + O(\theta^3)$$

- expresses $su(n)$ d.o.f. in terms of commutative $su(n)$ gauge fields A_a
- relates NC g.t. $i[\Lambda, X^a]$ in terms of standard $su(n)$ g.t. of A_a

Volume element:

$$(2\pi)^2 \text{Tr} f(y) = \int d^4 y \rho(y) f(y),$$

$$\rho(y) = \sqrt{\det(\theta_{ab}^{-1})} = (\det(\eta_{ab}) \det(G_{ab}))^{1/4}$$

(cp. Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization)

nonabelian gauge fields (correct)

Seiberg-Witten map:

$$X^a = Y^a + \theta^{ab} A_b - \frac{1}{2} (A_c [Y^c, \theta^{ad} A_d] + A_c F^{ca}) + O(\theta^3)$$

- expresses $su(n)$ d.o.f. in terms of commutative $su(n)$ gauge fields A_a
- relates NC g.t. $i[\Lambda, X^a]$ in terms of standard $su(n)$ g.t. of A_a

Volume element:

$$\begin{aligned} (2\pi)^2 \text{Tr} f(y) &= \int d^4 y \rho(y) f(y), \\ \rho(y) &= \sqrt{\det(\theta_{ab}^{-1})} = (\det(\eta_{ab}) \det(G_{ab}))^{1/4} \end{aligned}$$

(cp. Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization)

effective action to leading order:

$$S_{YM} = \int d^4 y \rho(y) \text{tr} \left(4\eta(y) - G^{cc'} G^{dd'} F_{cd} F_{c'd'} \right) + 2 \int \eta(y) \text{tr} F \wedge F$$

where

$$\eta(y) = G^{ab}(y) \eta_{ab}$$

- indeed $su(n)$ YM coupled to metric $G^{ab}(y)$

effective action to leading order:

$$S_{YM} = \int d^4 y \rho(y) \text{tr} \left(4\eta(y) - G^{cc'} G^{dd'} F_{cd} F_{c'd'} \right) + 2 \int \eta(y) \text{tr} F \wedge F$$

where

$$\eta(y) = G^{ab}(y) \eta_{ab}$$

- indeed $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ YM coupled to metric $G^{ab}(y)$
- additional term $\int \eta(y) \text{tr} F \wedge F$, topological for $\theta^{ab} = \text{const}$

effective action to leading order:

$$S_{YM} = \int d^4 y \rho(y) \text{tr} \left(4\eta(y) - G^{cc'} G^{dd'} F_{cd} F_{c'd'} \right) + 2 \int \eta(y) \text{tr} F \wedge F$$

where

$$\eta(y) = G^{ab}(y) \eta_{ab}$$

- indeed $su(n)$ YM coupled to metric $G^{ab}(y)$
- additional term $\int \eta(y) \text{tr} F \wedge F$, topological for $\theta^{ab} = \text{const}$
- “scalar action” $\int d^4 y \rho(y) \eta(y)$ will imply vacuum equations $R_{ab} \sim 0$

effective action to leading order:

$$S_{YM} = \int d^4 y \rho(y) \text{tr} \left(4\eta(y) - G^{cc'} G^{dd'} F_{cd} F_{c'd'} \right) + 2 \int \eta(y) \text{tr} F \wedge F$$

where

$$\eta(y) = G^{ab}(y) \eta_{ab}$$

- indeed $su(n)$ YM coupled to metric $G^{ab}(y)$
- additional term $\int \eta(y) \text{tr} F \wedge F$, topological for $\theta^{ab} = \text{const}$
- “scalar action” $\int d^4 y \rho(y) \eta(y)$ will imply vacuum equations $R_{ab} \sim 0$
- $u(1)$ d.o.f. in dynamical metric $G^{ab}(y) = -\theta^{ac}(y) \theta^{bd}(y) \eta_{cd}$
 \Rightarrow dynamical gravity

effective action to leading order:

$$S_{YM} = \int d^4 y \rho(y) \text{tr} \left(4\eta(y) - G^{cc'} G^{dd'} F_{cd} F_{c'd'} \right) + 2 \int \eta(y) \text{tr} F \wedge F$$

where

$$\eta(y) = G^{ab}(y) \eta_{ab}$$

- indeed $su(n)$ YM coupled to metric $G^{ab}(y)$
- additional term $\int \eta(y) \text{tr} F \wedge F$, topological for $\theta^{ab} = \text{const}$
- “scalar action” $\int d^4 y \rho(y) \eta(y)$ will imply vacuum equations $R_{ab} \sim 0$
- $u(1)$ d.o.f. in **dynamical** metric $G^{ab}(y) = -\theta^{ac}(y) \theta^{bd}(y) \eta_{cd}$
 \Rightarrow **dynamical gravity**

Remaining discussion:

- linearized gravity:
gravitational waves, Newtonian limit
- quantization:
induced Einstein-Hilbert action and UV/IR mixing

Remaining discussion:

- linearized gravity:
gravitational waves, Newtonian limit
- quantization:
induced Einstein-Hilbert action and UV/IR mixing

linearized NC gravity:

flat space: Moyal-Weyl $\bar{\theta}^{ab} = \text{const}$

$\Rightarrow G^{ab} = -\bar{\theta}^{ac} \bar{\theta}^{bd} \eta_{cd} =: \bar{\eta}^{ab}$... flat Minkowski metric

small fluctuations: $Y^a = \bar{Y}^a + \bar{\theta}^{ab} A_b^0$ (u(1) component)

$$\theta^{ab}(y) = -i[Y^a, Y^b] = \bar{\theta}^{ab} + \bar{\theta}^{ac} \bar{\theta}^{bd} F_{cd}^0(y)$$

$F_{cd}^0(y)$... u(1) field strength

linearized NC gravity:

flat space: Moyal-Weyl $\bar{\theta}^{ab} = \text{const}$

$\Rightarrow G^{ab} = -\bar{\theta}^{ac} \bar{\theta}^{bd} \eta_{cd} =: \bar{\eta}^{ab}$... flat Minkowski metric

small fluctuations: $Y^a = \bar{Y}^a + \bar{\theta}^{ab} A_b^0$ ($u(1)$ component)

$$\theta^{ab}(y) = -i[Y^a, Y^b] = \bar{\theta}^{ab} + \bar{\theta}^{ac} \bar{\theta}^{bd} F_{cd}^0(y)$$

$F_{cd}^0(y)$... $u(1)$ field strength

$$G^{ab}(y) = -(\bar{\theta}^{ac} + \bar{\theta}^{ae} \bar{\theta}^{ch} F_{eh}^0)(\bar{\theta}^{bd} + \bar{\theta}^{bf} \bar{\theta}^{dg} F_{fg}^0) \eta_{cd}$$

$$\approx \bar{\eta}^{ab} - h^{ab}$$

where

$$h_{ab} = \bar{\eta}_{bb'} \bar{\theta}^{b'c} F_{ca}^0 + \bar{\eta}_{aa'} \bar{\theta}^{a'c} F_{cb}^0$$

... linearized metric fluctuation (cf. Rivelles [hep-th/0212262])

linearized NC gravity:

flat space: Moyal-Weyl $\bar{\theta}^{ab} = \text{const}$

$\Rightarrow G^{ab} = -\bar{\theta}^{ac} \bar{\theta}^{bd} \eta_{cd} =: \bar{\eta}^{ab}$... flat Minkowski metric

small fluctuations: $Y^a = \bar{Y}^a + \bar{\theta}^{ab} A_b^0$ (u(1) component)

$$\theta^{ab}(y) = -i[Y^a, Y^b] = \bar{\theta}^{ab} + \bar{\theta}^{ac} \bar{\theta}^{bd} F_{cd}^0(y)$$

$F_{cd}^0(y)$... u(1) field strength

$$G^{ab}(y) = -(\bar{\theta}^{ac} + \bar{\theta}^{ae} \bar{\theta}^{ch} F_{eh}^0)(\bar{\theta}^{bd} + \bar{\theta}^{bf} \bar{\theta}^{dg} F_{fg}^0) \eta_{cd}$$

$$\approx \bar{\eta}^{ab} - h^{ab}$$

where

$$h_{ab} = \bar{\eta}_{bb'} \bar{\theta}^{b'c} F_{ca}^0 + \bar{\eta}_{aa'} \bar{\theta}^{a'c} F_{cb}^0$$

... linearized metric fluctuation (cf. [Rivelles \[hep-th/0212262\]](#))

e.o.m for gravitational d.o.f.:

$$[Y^a, \theta^{ab}(y)] = 0 \Leftrightarrow G^{ac} \partial_c \theta_{ab}^{-1}(y) = 0$$

implies vacuum equations of motion (linearized)

$$R_{ab} = 0 + O(\theta^2)$$

moreover $R_{abcd} = O(\bar{\theta}) \neq 0$... nonvanishing curvature

\Rightarrow **on-shell d.o.f. of gravitational waves on Minkowski space**

note

- $G^{ab} = -\theta^{ac}(y) \theta^{bd}(y) \eta_{cd}$... restricted class of metrics
- same **on-shell** d.o.f. as general relativity (for vacuum)

e.o.m for gravitational d.o.f.:

$$[Y^a, \theta^{ab}(y)] = 0 \Leftrightarrow G^{ac} \partial_c \theta_{ab}^{-1}(y) = 0$$

implies vacuum equations of motion (linearized)

$$R_{ab} = 0 + O(\theta^2)$$

moreover $R_{abcd} = O(\bar{\theta}) \neq 0$... nonvanishing curvature

\Rightarrow **on-shell d.o.f. of gravitational waves on Minkowski space**

note

- $G^{ab} = -\theta^{ac}(y) \theta^{bd}(y) \eta_{cd}$... restricted class of metrics
- same **on-shell** d.o.f. as general relativity (for vacuum)

Newtonian limit

Question: sufficient d. o. f. in G^{ab} for geometries with matter?

Answer: o.k. at least for Newtonian limit

$$ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 \left(1 + \frac{2U}{c^2}\right) + d\vec{x}^2 \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{c^2}\right)\right)$$

where $\Delta_{(3)} U(y) = 4\pi G\rho(y)$ and ρ ...static mass density

can show: \exists sufficient d.o.f. in G^{ab} for arbitrary $\rho(y)$

moreover, vacuum e.o.m. imply

$$ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 \left(1 + \frac{2U}{c^2}\right) + d\vec{x}^2 \left(1 - \frac{2U}{c^2}\right)$$

as in G.R.

Question: what about the Einstein-Hilbert action?

Answer:

- **tree level:** e.o.m. for gravity follow from $u(1)$ sector:

$$G^{ac} \partial_c \theta_{ab}^{-1}(y) = 0 \text{ implies } R_{ab} \sim 0,$$

at least for linearized gravity.

- **one-loop:** gauge or matter (scalar) fields couple to G_{ab}
 \Rightarrow (Sakharov) induced Einstein-Hilbert action:

$$S_{1-loop} \sim \int d^4y \sqrt{G} \left(c_1 \Lambda_{UV}^4 + c_2 \Lambda_{UV}^2 R[G] + O(\log(\Lambda_{UV})) \right)$$

however, modifications due to different role of scaling factor $\det(G)$ in density

Relation with UV/IR mixing

Recall UV/IR mixing:

- Quantization of NC field theory \rightarrow new divergences in IR, similar to UV divergences; non-renormalizable ?
- for NC gauge theories: restricted to trace- $u(1)$ sector
- here: trace- $u(1)$ sector understood as **geometric d. o. f.**,
 $su(n)$ YM coupled to G_{ab}
 \Rightarrow **expect** new divergences in IR **due to induced gravity**
(E-H action)

Relation with UV/IR mixing

Recall UV/IR mixing:

- Quantization of NC field theory \rightarrow new divergences in IR, similar to UV divergences; non-renormalizable ?
- for NC gauge theories: restricted to trace- $u(1)$ sector
- here: trace- $u(1)$ sector understood as **geometric d. o. f.**, $su(n)$ YM coupled to G_{ab}
 \Rightarrow **expect** new divergences in IR **due to induced gravity (E-H action)**

- natural “explanation” for UV/IR mixing
- conjecture: resolved by interpretation as induced gravity

Relation with UV/IR mixing

Recall UV/IR mixing:

- Quantization of NC field theory \rightarrow new divergences in IR, similar to UV divergences; non-renormalizable ?
 - for NC gauge theories: restricted to trace- $u(1)$ sector
 - here: trace- $u(1)$ sector understood as **geometric d. o. f.**, $su(n)$ YM coupled to G_{ab}
 \Rightarrow **expect** new divergences in IR **due to induced gravity (E-H action)**
- natural “explanation” for UV/IR mixing
 - conjecture: resolved by interpretation as induced gravity

Summary and outlook

- matrix-model $\text{Tr}[X^a, X^b][X^{a'}, X^{b'}] \eta_{aa'} \eta_{bb'}$ describes $SU(n)$ gauge theory coupled to gravity
- simple, intrinsically NC mechanism to generate gravity
NC spaces \leftrightarrow gravity
- solves problem how to define NC $su(n)$ gauge theory

Summary and outlook

- matrix-model $\text{Tr}[X^a, X^b][X^{a'}, X^{b'}] \eta_{aa'} \eta_{bb'}$ describes $SU(n)$ gauge theory coupled to gravity
- simple, intrinsically NC mechanism to generate gravity
NC spaces \leftrightarrow gravity
- solves problem how to define NC $su(n)$ gauge theory
- *not* same as G.R., but close to G.R. for small curvature
 - vacuum equation $R_{ab} \sim 0$ at least in linearized case
 - Newtonian limit, some post-newtonian corrections o.k.

Summary and outlook

- matrix-model $\text{Tr}[X^a, X^b][X^{a'}, X^{b'}] \eta_{aa'} \eta_{bb'}$ describes $SU(n)$ gauge theory coupled to gravity
- simple, intrinsically NC mechanism to generate gravity
NC spaces \leftrightarrow gravity
- solves problem how to define NC $su(n)$ gauge theory
- *not* same as G.R., but close to G.R. for small curvature
 - vacuum equation $R_{ab} \sim 0$ at least in linearized case
 - Newtonian limit, some post-newtonian corrections o.k.
- same mechanism in string-theoretical matrix models (IKKT)

Summary and outlook

- matrix-model $\text{Tr}[X^a, X^b][X^{a'}, X^{b'}] \eta_{aa'} \eta_{bb'}$ describes $SU(n)$ gauge theory coupled to gravity
- simple, intrinsically NC mechanism to generate gravity
NC spaces \leftrightarrow gravity
- solves problem how to define NC $su(n)$ gauge theory
- *not* same as G.R., but close to G.R. for small curvature
 - vacuum equation $R_{ab} \sim 0$ at least in linearized case
 - Newtonian limit, some post-newtonian corrections o.k.
- same mechanism in string-theoretical matrix models (IKKT)
- suggest natural explanation (resolution?) for UV/IR mixing
- promising for quantizing gravity

Summary and outlook

- matrix-model $\text{Tr}[X^a, X^b][X^{a'}, X^{b'}] \eta_{aa'} \eta_{bb'}$ describes $SU(n)$ gauge theory coupled to gravity
- simple, intrinsically NC mechanism to generate gravity
NC spaces \leftrightarrow gravity
- solves problem how to define NC $su(n)$ gauge theory
- *not* same as G.R., but close to G.R. for small curvature
 - vacuum equation $R_{ab} \sim 0$ at least in linearized case
 - Newtonian limit, some post-newtonian corrections o.k.
- same mechanism in string-theoretical matrix models (IKKT)
- suggest natural explanation (resolution?) for UV/IR mixing
- promising for quantizing gravity