

BW2007 workshop, III Southeastern European Workshop

Challenges Beyond the Standard Model, Kladovo, 2-9 September 2007

Towards noncommutative SUSY field theories

Marija Dimitrijević

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics,
Belgrade, Serbia

with P. Aschieri, V. Radovanović and J. Wess

Outline

- Undeformed:
 - superspace
 - SUSY transformations
- Deformed (noncommutative):
 - SUSY transformations
 - superspace
 - chiral fields
- Deformed Wess-Zumino Lagrangian
 - construction
 - equations of motion
- Deformed Lorentz transformations
- Comments, conclusions

Undeformed superspace

Generated by (anti)commuting coordinates

$$[x^m, x^n] = 0, \quad \{\theta^\alpha, \theta^\beta\} = 0, \quad \{\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0, \quad (1)$$

with $m = 0, \dots, 3$ and $\alpha, \beta, \dot{\alpha}, \dot{\beta} = 1, 2$.

Derivatives consistent with this algebra are given by

$$[\partial_m, x^n] = \delta_m^n, \quad \{\partial_\alpha, \theta^\beta\} = \delta_\alpha^\beta, \quad \{\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}} \quad (2)$$

and

$$[\partial_m, \partial_n] = 0, \quad \{\partial_\alpha, \partial_\beta\} = \{\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0, \dots \quad (3)$$

Superfield $F(x, \theta, \bar{\theta})$ can be expanded in powers of θ and $\bar{\theta}$

$$F(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = f(x) + \theta\phi(x) + \bar{\theta}\bar{\chi}(x) + \theta\theta m(x) + \bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}n(x) \\ + \theta\sigma^m\bar{\theta}v_m + \theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda}(x) + \bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}\theta\varphi(x) + \theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}d(x). \quad (4)$$

Under infinitesimal SUSY transformations

$$\delta_\xi F = (\xi Q + \bar{\xi} \bar{Q}) F(x), \quad (5)$$

$$Q_\alpha = \partial_\alpha - i\sigma^m_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_m, \quad \bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}} = \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}} - i\theta^\alpha \sigma^m_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \varepsilon^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \partial_m. \quad (6)$$

Also $\xi^\alpha, \bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \text{const.}$ and $\{\xi^\alpha, \xi^\beta\} = \{\xi^\alpha, \bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} = \{\bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\xi}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0.$

Transformations (5) close in the algebra

$$[\delta_\xi, \delta_\eta] = -2i(\eta\sigma^m \bar{\xi} - \xi\sigma^m \bar{\eta}) \partial_m. \quad (7)$$

Leibniz rule is **undeformed**

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\xi (F \cdot G) &= (\delta_\xi F) \cdot G + F \cdot (\delta_\xi G) \\ &= (\xi Q + \bar{\xi} \bar{Q}) (F \cdot G). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Hopf algebra of undeformed SUSY transformations

- algebra

$$\begin{aligned}\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} &= \{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0, & \{Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\beta}}\} &= 2i\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^m \partial_m, \\ [\partial_m, \partial_n] &= [\partial_m, Q_\alpha] = [\partial_m, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}] = 0.\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

- coproduct

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta Q_\alpha &= Q_\alpha \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Q_\alpha, & \Delta \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}} &= \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \\ \Delta \partial_m &= \partial_m \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \partial_m.\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

- counit

$$\varepsilon(Q_\alpha) = \varepsilon(\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}) = \varepsilon(\partial_m) = 0. \quad (11)$$

- antipod

$$S(Q_\alpha) = -Q_\alpha, \quad S(\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}) = -\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad S(\partial_m) = -\partial_m. \quad (12)$$

From (5) transformation laws of component fields follow

$$\delta_\xi f = \xi^\alpha \phi_\alpha + \bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad (13)$$

$$\delta_\xi \phi_\alpha = 2\xi_\alpha m + \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}} (v_m + i(\partial_m f)), \quad (14)$$

$$\delta_\xi \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}} = 2\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}} n + \bar{\sigma}^{m\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \xi_\alpha (-v_m + i(\partial_m f)), \quad (15)$$

$$\delta_\xi m = \bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\sigma}^{m\dot{\alpha}\alpha} (\partial_m \phi_\alpha), \quad (16)$$

$$\delta_\xi n = \xi^\alpha \varphi_\alpha + \frac{i}{2} \xi^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m (\partial_m \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}}), \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m \delta_\xi v_m &= -i(\partial_m \phi_\alpha) \xi^\beta \sigma_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}^m + 2\xi_\alpha \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \\ &\quad + i\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^m \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\beta}} (\partial_m \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}}) + 2\varphi_\alpha \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$\delta_\xi \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} = 2\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}} d + i\bar{\sigma}^{l\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \xi_\alpha (\partial_l m) + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\sigma}^{l\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^m \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\beta}} (\partial_m v_l), \quad (19)$$

$$\delta_\xi \varphi_\alpha = 2\xi_\alpha d + i\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^l \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}} (\partial_l n) - \frac{i}{2} \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^l \bar{\sigma}^{m\dot{\alpha}\beta} \xi_\beta (\partial_m v_l), \quad (20)$$

$$\delta_\xi d = \frac{i}{2} \xi^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m (\partial_m \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}) - \frac{i}{2} (\partial_m \varphi^\alpha) \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (21)$$

Deformed SUSY transformations

We choose twist \mathcal{F}

$$\mathcal{F} = e^{\frac{1}{2}C^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\otimes\partial_\beta + \frac{1}{2}\bar{C}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}}\otimes\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\beta}}}, \quad C^{\alpha\beta} = C^{\beta\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (22)$$

Hopf algebra of deformed SUSY transformations

$$\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = \{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0, \quad \{Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 2i\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^m\partial_m, \dots, \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(Q_\alpha) &= \mathcal{F}\left(Q_\alpha \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Q_\alpha\right)\mathcal{F}^{-1} \\ &= Q_\alpha \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Q_\alpha \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{2}\bar{C}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\left(\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}}^m\varepsilon^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}}\partial_m \otimes \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\beta}} + \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}} \otimes \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}}^m\varepsilon^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}}\partial_m\right), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}) &= \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}} \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{2}C^{\alpha\beta}\left(\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m\partial_m \otimes \partial_\beta + \partial_\alpha \otimes \sigma_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}^m\partial_m\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\varepsilon(Q_\alpha) = \varepsilon(\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}) = 0, \quad S(Q_\alpha) = -Q_\alpha, \quad S(\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}) = -\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}.$$

★-product

Inverse of \mathcal{F} introduces a ★-product on the superspace as

$$\begin{aligned}
 F \star G &= \mu\{\mathcal{F}^{-1} F \otimes G\} \\
 &= F \cdot G - \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{|F|} C^{\alpha\beta} (\partial_\alpha F) \cdot (\partial_\beta G) - \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{|F|} \bar{C}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} (\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}} F) (\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\beta}} G) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{8} C^{\alpha\beta} C^{\gamma\delta} (\partial_\alpha \partial_\gamma F) \cdot (\partial_\beta \partial_\delta G) - \frac{1}{8} \bar{C}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{C}_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} (\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\gamma}} F) (\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\delta}} G) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{4} C^{\alpha\beta} \bar{C}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} (\partial_\alpha \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}} F) (\partial_\beta \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\beta}} G) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{16} (-1)^{|F|} C^{\alpha\beta} C^{\gamma\delta} \bar{C}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} (\partial_\alpha \partial_\gamma \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}} F) (\partial_\beta \partial_\delta \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\beta}} G) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{16} (-1)^{|F|} C^{\alpha\beta} \bar{C}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{C}_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} (\partial_\alpha \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\gamma}} F) (\partial_\beta \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\delta}} G) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{64} C^{\alpha\beta} C^{\gamma\delta} \bar{C}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{C}_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} (\partial_\alpha \partial_\gamma \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\gamma}} F) (\partial_\beta \partial_\delta \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\delta}} G), \tag{25}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $|F| = 1$ if F is odd and $|F| = 0$ if F is even.

Under complex conjugation

$$(F \star G)^* = G^* \star F^*. \quad (26)$$

Special examples

$$\{\theta^\alpha \star \theta^\beta\} = C^{\alpha\beta}, \quad \{\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} \star \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = \bar{C}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \quad [x^m \star x^n] = 0. \quad (27)$$

Non(anti)commutative space; non(anti)commutativity is encoded in terms of the \star -product (27).

Derivatives consistent with (28) and (29) are just the usual derivatives (2).

Usual integral is the "good" integral.

Deformed SUSY transformation is defined as

$$\delta_\xi^* F(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = (\xi Q + \bar{\xi} \bar{Q}) F(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}). \quad (28)$$

As a consequence of (24) the \star -product of two superfields is again a superfield

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\xi^*(F \star G) &= (\xi Q + \bar{\xi} \bar{Q})(F \star G), \\ &\neq (\delta_\xi F) \star G + \underbrace{F \star (\delta_\xi G)}_{F \star ((\xi Q + \bar{\xi} \bar{Q})G)}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Leibniz rule is deformed

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\xi^*(F \star G) &= (\delta_\xi F) \star G + F \star (\delta_\xi G) \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} C^{\alpha\beta} \left(\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\gamma}} \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}}^m (\partial_m F) \star (\partial_\beta G) + (\partial_\alpha F) \star \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\gamma}} \sigma_{\beta\dot{\gamma}}^m (\partial_m G) \right) \\ &- \frac{i}{2} \bar{C}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \left(\xi^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}}^m \varepsilon^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}} (\partial_m F) \star (\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\beta}} G) + (\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}} F) \star \xi^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}}^m \varepsilon^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}} (\partial_m G) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Chiral field Φ fulfils $\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi = 0$, with $\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} - i\theta^{\alpha}\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m\partial_m$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(x) = & A(x) + \sqrt{2}\theta^{\alpha}\psi_{\alpha}(x) + \theta\theta\mathbf{F}(x) + i\theta\sigma^l\bar{\theta}(\partial_l A(x)) \\ & - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\theta\theta(\partial_m\psi^{\alpha}(x))\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{4}\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}(\square A(x)).\end{aligned}\quad (31)$$

The \star -product of two, three,... chiral superfields is **not chiral**

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi \star \Phi = & A^2 - \frac{C^2}{2}\mathbf{F}^2 + \frac{1}{4}C^{\alpha\beta}\bar{C}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m\sigma_{\beta\dot{\beta}}^l(\partial_m A)(\partial_l A) + \frac{1}{64}C^2\bar{C}^2(\square A)^2 \\ & + \theta^{\alpha}\left(2\sqrt{2}\psi_{\alpha}A - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}C^{\gamma\beta}\bar{C}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\varepsilon_{\gamma\alpha}(\partial_m\psi^{\rho})\sigma_{\rho\dot{\beta}}^m\sigma_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}^l(\partial_l A)\right) \\ & - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}C^2\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\sigma}^{m\dot{\alpha}\alpha}(\partial_m\psi_{\alpha})\mathbf{F} + \theta\theta\left(2A\mathbf{F} - \psi\psi\right) \\ & + \bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}\left(-\frac{C^2}{4}(\mathbf{F}\square A - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_m\psi)\sigma^m\bar{\sigma}^l(\partial_l\psi))\right) \\ & + \theta\sigma^m\bar{\theta}\left(i(\partial_m A^2) + \frac{i}{4}C^{\alpha\beta}\bar{C}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\sigma_{m\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\sigma_{\beta\dot{\beta}}^l(\square A)(\partial_l A)\right) \\ & + i\sqrt{2}\theta\theta\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\sigma}^{m\dot{\alpha}\alpha}(\partial_m(\psi_{\alpha}A)) + \frac{1}{4}\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}(\square A^2),\end{aligned}\quad (32)$$

where $C^2 = C^{\alpha\beta}C^{\gamma\delta}\varepsilon_{\alpha\gamma}\varepsilon_{\beta\delta}$ and $\bar{C}^2 = \bar{C}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{C}_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}}\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}}\varepsilon^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\delta}}$.

We **project out** chiral, antichiral and transverse component of $\Phi \star \Phi$ and $\Phi \star \Phi \star \Phi$ by using projectors P_1 , P_2 and P_T

$$P_1 = \frac{1}{16} \frac{D^2 \bar{D}^2}{\square}, \quad P_2 = \frac{1}{16} \frac{\bar{D}^2 D^2}{\square}, \quad P_T = -\frac{1}{8} \frac{D \bar{D}^2 D}{\square}, \quad (33)$$

$$f(x) \frac{1}{\square} g(x) = f(x) \int d^4 y G(x-y) g(y).$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_2(\Phi \star \Phi) &= A^2 - \frac{C^2}{8} \mathbf{F}^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{16} C^{\alpha\beta} \bar{C}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m \sigma_{\beta\dot{\beta}}^l \left((\partial_m A)(\partial_l A) + \frac{2}{\square} \partial_m ((\square A)(\partial_l A)) \right) \\ &+ \sqrt{2} \theta^\alpha \left(2\psi_\alpha A - \frac{1}{4} C^{\gamma\beta} \bar{C}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \varepsilon_{\gamma\alpha} (\partial_m \psi^\rho) \sigma_{\rho\dot{\beta}}^m \sigma_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}^l (\partial_l A) \right) \\ &+ \theta\theta \left(2A\mathbf{F} - \psi\psi \right) + i\theta\sigma^k \bar{\theta} \partial_k \left(A^2 - \frac{C^2}{8} \mathbf{F}^2 \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{1}{16} C^{\alpha\beta} \bar{C}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m \sigma_{\beta\dot{\beta}}^l \left((\partial_m A)(\partial_l A) + \frac{2}{\square} \partial_m ((\square A)(\partial_l A)) \right) \right) \\ &+ i\sqrt{2} \theta\theta \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\sigma}^{k\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \partial_k \left(\psi_\alpha A - \frac{1}{8} C^{\gamma\beta} \bar{C}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \varepsilon_{\gamma\alpha} (\partial_m \psi^\rho) \sigma_{\rho\dot{\beta}}^m \sigma_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}^l (\partial_l A) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \theta\theta \bar{\theta} \bar{\theta} \square \left(A^2 - \frac{C^2}{8} \mathbf{F}^2 + \frac{1}{16} C^{\alpha\beta} \bar{C}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m \sigma_{\beta\dot{\beta}}^l \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left((\partial_m A)(\partial_l A) + \frac{2}{\square} \partial_m ((\square A)(\partial_l A)) \right) \right) + \mathcal{O}(C^3). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Wess-Zumino Lagrangian

Undeformed Wess-Zumino Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \Phi^+ \cdot \Phi \Big|_{\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} + \left(\frac{m}{2} \Phi \cdot \Phi \Big|_{\theta\theta} + \frac{\lambda}{3} \Phi \cdot \Phi \cdot \Phi \Big|_{\theta\theta} + \text{c.c.} \right), \quad (35)$$

with m and λ real constants.

Deformation

$$\Phi^+ \cdot \Phi \rightarrow \Phi^+ \star \Phi,$$

$$\Phi \cdot \Phi \rightarrow P_2(\Phi \star \Phi),$$

$$\Phi \cdot \Phi \cdot \Phi \rightarrow \begin{cases} P_2(\Phi \star P_2(\Phi \star \Phi)), \\ P_2(P_2(\Phi \star \Phi) \star \Phi), \\ P_2(\Phi \star \Phi \star \Phi). \end{cases}$$

Comments I

- kinetic term

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^+ \star \Phi \Big|_{\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} &= \mathbf{F}^* \mathbf{F} + \frac{1}{4} A^* \square A + \frac{1}{4} A \square A^* \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_m A^*) (\partial^m A) + \frac{i}{2} (\partial_m \bar{\psi}) \sigma^{\bar{m}} \psi - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \sigma^{\bar{m}} (\partial_m \psi), \\ \delta_\xi^* \left(\Phi^+ \star \Phi \Big|_{\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} \right) &= \partial_m (\dots). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

remains **undeformed**.

- mass term

$$\begin{aligned} P_2(\Phi \star \Phi) \Big|_{\theta\theta} + \text{c.c.} &= 2A\mathbf{F} - \psi\psi + 2A^*\mathbf{F}^* - \bar{\psi}\bar{\psi}, \\ \delta_\xi^* \left(P_2(\Phi \star \Phi) \Big|_{\theta\theta} + \text{c.c.} \right) &= \partial_m (\dots). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

remains **undeformed**.

- interaction term

$$\begin{aligned}
1) \quad & P_2\left(\Phi \star P_2(\Phi \star \Phi)\right)\Big|_{\theta\theta} + \text{c.c.} = 3(A^2\mathbf{F} - (\psi\psi)A) \\
& - \frac{1}{4}K^{ab}K_{ab}\mathbf{F}^3 \\
& + \frac{1}{2}K^m{}_a K^{*na}\mathbf{F}\left((\partial_m A)(\partial_n A) + \frac{2}{\square}\partial_m((\square A)(\partial_n A))\right) \\
& - \left(K^m{}_a K^{*na}\psi(\partial_n\psi) - 2K^m{}_a K^{*n}{}_c(\partial_n\psi)\sigma^{ca}\psi\right)(\partial_m A) \quad (38) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}K_{ab}^*(\bar{\sigma}^{ab}\bar{\sigma}^{lm})\dot{\beta}(\partial_m A)\partial_l\left[A^2 - \frac{1}{4}K^{ab}K_{ab}\mathbf{F}^2\right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{2}K^m{}_a K^{*na}\mathbf{F}\left((\partial_m A)(\partial_n A) + \frac{2}{\square}\partial_m((\square A)(\partial_n A))\right)\right] \\
& + \text{c.c.} + \mathcal{O}(K^4),
\end{aligned}$$

where we introduced the following notation

$$C_{\alpha\beta} = K_{ab}(\sigma^{ab}\varepsilon)_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \bar{C}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = K_{ab}^*(\varepsilon\bar{\sigma}^{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}. \quad (39)$$

2)

$$P_2\left(P_2(\Phi \star \Phi) \star \Phi\right)\Big|_{\theta\theta} \neq P_2\left(\Phi \star P_2(\Phi \star \Phi)\right)\Big|_{\theta\theta},$$

but the difference (under the integral) is a surface term

$$\begin{aligned} & + \frac{1}{2} K_{ab}^* (\bar{\sigma}^{ab} \bar{\sigma}^{lm}) \dot{\beta} \partial_l \left[(\partial_m A) \left(A^2 - \frac{C^2}{8} \mathbf{F}^2 \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} K_a^m K^{*na} \mathbf{F} \left((\partial_m A) (\partial_n A) + \frac{2}{\square} \partial_m ((\square A) (\partial_n A)) \right) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

3) Term $P_2\left(\Phi \star \Phi \star \Phi\right)\Big|_{\theta\theta} + \text{c.c.}$ has "wrong" transformation law

$$\delta_\xi^* \left(P_2\left(\Phi \star \Phi \star \Phi\right)\Big|_{\theta\theta} + \text{c.c.} \right) \neq \partial_m(\dots)$$

and would not lead to a SUSY invariant action.

Finally, the transformation law of (38) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_{\xi}^* \left(P_2(\Phi \star P_2(\Phi \star \Phi)) \Big|_{\theta\theta} + \text{c.c.} \right) \\ &= i\sqrt{2}\bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\sigma}^{l\dot{\alpha}\alpha}\partial_l \left(K^m_a K^{*na}\psi_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\square} \partial_m(\partial_n A \square A) + \text{local terms} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Interaction term is **deformed** and **nonlocal**, but it is still **SUSY invariant** and has good classical limit

$$P_2(\Phi \star P_2(\Phi \star \Phi)) \Big|_{\theta\theta} + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow 3(A^2\mathbf{F} - (\psi\psi)A + \text{c.c.}),$$

when $C, \bar{C} \rightarrow 0$.

Deformed Wess-Zumino Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L} &= \Phi^+ \star \Phi \Big|_{\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{m}{2} P_2(\Phi \star \Phi) \Big|_{\theta\theta} + \frac{\lambda}{3} P_2(\Phi \star P_2(\Phi \star \Phi)) \Big|_{\theta\theta} + \text{c.c.} \right) \\
 &= A^* \square A + i(\partial_m \bar{\psi}) \bar{\sigma}^m \psi + \mathbf{F}^* \mathbf{F} \\
 &\quad + \left[\frac{m}{2} (2A\mathbf{F} - \psi\psi) + \lambda (\mathbf{F}A^2 - A\psi\psi) \right. \\
 &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{3} \left(K^m_a K^{*na} \psi (\partial_n \psi) - 2K^m_a K^{*n}_b (\partial_n \psi) \sigma^{ba} \psi \right) (\partial_m A) \\
 &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{6} K^m_a K^{*na} \mathbf{F} \left((\partial_m A)(\partial_n A) + \frac{2}{\square} \partial_m ((\partial_n A) \square A) \right) \\
 &\quad \left. + \text{c.c.} \right] + \mathcal{O}(K^4). \tag{40}
 \end{aligned}$$

Equations of motion for fields F and F^*

$$\begin{aligned} F^* + mA + \lambda A^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4} K^{ab} K_{ab} F^2 + \frac{\lambda}{6} K^m{}_a K^{*na} (\partial_m A)(\partial_n A) \\ + \frac{\lambda}{3} K^m{}_a K^{*na} \frac{1}{\square} \partial_m ((\partial_n A) \square A) + \mathcal{O}(K^4) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F + mA^* + \lambda (A^*)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4} K^{*ab} K_{ab}^* (F^*)^2 \\ + \frac{\lambda}{6} K^m{}_a K^{*na} (\partial_m A)^* (\partial_n A)^* \\ + \frac{\lambda}{3} K^m{}_a K^{*na} \frac{1}{\square} \partial_m ((\partial_n A)^* \square A^*) + \mathcal{O}(K^4) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

are solved perturbatively and solutions inserted in the Lagrangian (40). That gives

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{O}(K^4), \quad (43)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0 = & A^* \square A + i(\partial_m \bar{\psi}) \bar{\sigma}^m \psi - \lambda A^* \bar{\psi} \bar{\psi} - \lambda A \psi \psi - \frac{m}{2} (\psi \psi + \bar{\psi} \bar{\psi}) \\ & - m^2 A^* A - m \lambda A (A^*)^2 - m \lambda A^* A^2 - \lambda^2 A^2 (A^*)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_2 = & \frac{\lambda}{3} K_a^m K^{*na} \left(m(\partial_m A) + 2\lambda A(\partial_m A) \right) \frac{1}{\square} ((\partial_n A^*) \square A^*) \\ & + \frac{\lambda}{3} K_a^m K^{*na} \left(m(\partial_m A^*) + 2\lambda A^*(\partial_m A^*) \right) \frac{1}{\square} ((\partial_n A) \square A) \\ & + \frac{\lambda}{12} K^{ab} K_{ab} \left(mA^* + \lambda(A^*)^2 \right)^3 + \frac{\lambda}{12} K^{*ab} K_{ab}^* \left(mA + \lambda A^2 \right)^3 \\ & - \frac{\lambda}{6} K_a^m K^{*na} \left((mA + \lambda A^2)(\partial_m A^*)(\partial_n A^*) \right. \\ & \left. + (mA^* + \lambda(A^*)^2)(\partial_m A)(\partial_n A) \right) \\ & - \frac{\lambda}{3} \left(K_a^m K^{*na} \psi(\partial_n \psi) - 2K_a^m K^{*n}_b (\partial_n \psi) \sigma^{ba} \psi \right) (\partial_m A) \\ & - \frac{\lambda}{3} \left(K_a^m K^{*na} \bar{\psi}(\partial_n \bar{\psi}) - 2K_a^m K^{*n}_b \bar{\psi} \bar{\sigma}^{ab} (\partial_n \bar{\psi}) \right) (\partial_m A^*). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Comments II

- we constructed a deformation of the Wess-Zumino Lagrangian with the good classical limit
- non-local interaction, but still SUSY invariant
- work in progress:
 - renormalisability of the model
 - renormalisation might restrict the choice of deformation (we might be forced to choose $C^{\alpha\beta}$ such that $K^{ab}K_{ab} = 0$)
- future work
 - gauge theories
 - ...
 - deformed SUSY Standard Model

Comments III

What has been done on this subject so far in the literature

- the choice $\mathcal{F} = e^{-\frac{i}{2}\theta^{mn}\partial_m\otimes\partial_n}$ leads to $[x^m \star, x^n] = i\theta^{mn}$, analysed in hep-th/9912153 and hep-th/0002084 .
- the choice $\mathcal{F} = e^{\frac{1}{2}C^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\otimes\partial_\beta}$ analysed by Seiberg in hep-th/0305248; \star -product non-hermitian, chirality preserved.
- the choice $\mathcal{F} = e^{\frac{1}{2}C^{\alpha\beta}Q_\alpha\otimes Q_\beta}$ analysed by Zupnik in hep-th/0506043, Ihl and Sämann in hep-th/0506057, . . . ; chirality preserved, \star -product non-hermitian.
- some combinations of $\mathcal{F} = e^{\frac{1}{2}C^{\alpha\beta}D_\alpha\otimes D_\beta}$ analysed by Ferrara et al. in hep-th/0307039; . . . , some remarks on SUSY invariant Lagrangians.

Deformed Lorentz transformations

Under infinitesimal Lorentz transformations coordinates of the superspace transform as follows

$$\delta_\omega x^m = \omega^m_n x^n = -\frac{1}{2}\omega^{rs} L_{rs} x^m,$$

$$\delta_\omega \theta_\alpha = \omega^{mn} (\sigma_{mn})_\alpha^\beta \theta_\beta = -\frac{1}{2}\omega^{mn} L_{mn} \theta_\alpha, \quad (46)$$

$$\delta_\omega \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} = \omega^{mn} (\bar{\sigma}_{mn})_{\dot{\beta}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}} = -\frac{1}{2}\omega^{mn} L_{mn} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}},$$

$$L_{mn} = x_m \partial_n - x_n \partial_m - (\sigma_{mn} \varepsilon)_{\alpha\beta} (\theta^\alpha \partial^\beta + \theta^\beta \partial^\alpha) \\ - (\varepsilon \bar{\sigma}_{mn})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} (\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\beta}} + \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}}). \quad (47)$$

General superfield F is a scalar under (46),

$$F'(x', \theta', \bar{\theta}') = F(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}),$$

$$\delta_\omega F = \frac{1}{2}\omega^{mn} L_{mn} F. \quad (48)$$

The Hopf algebra of undeformed Lorentz transformations is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\delta_\omega, \delta_{\omega'}] &= \delta_{[\omega, \omega']}, \\
 \Delta(\delta_\omega) &= \delta_\omega \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta_\omega, \\
 \varepsilon(\delta_\omega) &= 0, \quad S(\delta_\omega) = -\delta_\omega.
 \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Using the twist \mathcal{F} (22) we (as usual) twist this Hopf algebra, ...

The deformed Leibniz rule tells us that $F \star G$ is a scalar field again...

Simple example: transformation law of $\theta^\alpha \star \theta^\beta$

In the undeformed case we have

$$\delta_\omega(\theta^\alpha \cdot \theta^\beta) = -\frac{1}{2}\omega^{mn} L_{mn}(\theta^\alpha \cdot \theta^\beta).$$

Therefore we say that also

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\omega(\theta^\alpha \star \theta^\beta) &= -\frac{1}{2}\omega^{mn} L_{mn}(\theta^\alpha \star \theta^\beta) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\omega^{mn} (\sigma_{mn}\varepsilon)_{\gamma\delta} (\theta^\gamma \partial^\delta + \theta^\delta \partial^\gamma) (\theta^\alpha \theta^\beta + \frac{1}{2}C^{\alpha\beta}) \\
&= -\omega^{mn} \left((\sigma_{mn})_\gamma^\alpha \theta^\gamma \theta_\beta + (\sigma_{mn})_\gamma^\beta \theta_\alpha \theta^\gamma \right). \quad (50)
\end{aligned}$$

The deformed Leibniz on the other hand gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\omega(\theta^\alpha \star \theta^\beta) &= (\delta_\omega \theta^\alpha) \star \theta^\beta + \theta^\alpha \star (\delta_\omega \theta^\beta) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}C^{\rho\sigma} \omega^{mn} \left((\partial_\rho \theta^\alpha) \star (\sigma_{mn}\varepsilon)_{\sigma\gamma} (\partial^\gamma \theta^\beta) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (\sigma_{mn}\varepsilon)_{\rho\gamma} (\partial^\gamma \theta^\alpha) \star (\partial_\sigma \theta^\beta) \right) \\
&= -\omega^{mn} \left((\sigma_{mn})_\gamma^\alpha \theta^\gamma \theta_\beta + (\sigma_{mn})_\gamma^\beta \theta_\alpha \theta^\gamma \right). \quad (51)
\end{aligned}$$

Results (50) and (51) are the same.